arXiv:quant-ph/0201033v1 9 Jan 2002

Quantum Computations by Quantum Operations on Mixed States

Vasily E. Tarasov
Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, Russia
E-mail: TARASOV@THEORY.SINP.MSU.RU

Abstract

Usually models for quantum computations deal with unitary gates on pure states. In this paper we generalize the usual model. We consider a model of quantum computations in which the state is an operator of density matrix and the gates are quantum operations, not necessarily unitary. A mixed state (operator of density matrix) of n two-level quantum systems is considered as an element of 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space. Unitary quantum gates and nonunitary quantum operations for n-qubit system are considered as generalized quantum gates acting on mixed state. In this paper we study universality for quantum computations by quantum operations on mixed states.

PACS 3.67.Lx
Keywords: Quantum computations, quantum gates, mixed states, quantum operations

I Introduction

Usual models for quantum computations deal only with unitary gates on pure states. In these models it is difficult or impossible to deal formally with measurements, dissipation, decoherence and noise. Understanding dynamics of mixed states is important for studying quantum noise processes [1, 2, 3], quantum error correction [4, 5, 6], decoherence effects [7, 9, 8, 10, 11] in quantum computations and to perform simulations of open quantum systems [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. It turns out, that the restriction to pure states and unitary gates is unnecessary [18, 19].

In this paper we generalize the usual model of quantum computations to a model in which the state is a density matrix operator and the gates are general quantum operations, not necessarily unitary. Pure state of n two-level quantum systems is an element of 2^n-dimensional Hilbert space. Usually the gates of this model are unitary operators act on a such state. In general case, mixed state (operator of density matrix) of n two-level quantum systems is an element of 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space. The gates for mixed states are general quantum operations which act on general mixed states. Unitary gates and quantum operations for quantum two-valued logic computations are considered as four-valued logic gates of new model. The space of linear operators acting on a $N = 2^n$-dimensional Hilbert space is a $N^2 = 4^n$-dimensional operator Hilbert space. The mixed state of n two-level quantum system is an element of 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space. It leads to 4-valued logic model for quantum computations with mixed states. In the paper we consider universality for general quantum gates acting on mixed states. The condition of completely positivity leads to difficult inequalities for gate matrix elements [46, 43, 44, 45]. In order to satisfy condition of completely positivity we use the following representation. Any linear completely positive quantum operation can be represented by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{L}_{A_j} \hat{R}_{A_j^\dagger} : \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \rho A_j^\dagger,$$

where $\hat{L}_A B = AB$ and $\hat{R}_A B = BA$. To find the universal set of completely positive (linear or nonlinear) gates $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ we consider the universal set of $\hat{L}_{A_j}$ and $\hat{R}_{A_j^\dagger}$. A two-qubit gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is called primitive if $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ maps tensor product of single ququats to tensor product of single qubits. The gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is called imprimitive if $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is not primitive. We prove that almost every pseudo-gate that operates on two or more ququats is universal pseudo-gate. The set of all single ququat pseudo-gates and any imprimitive two-ququats pseudo-gate are universal set of pseudo-gates.

In Section 2, we introduce generalized computational basis and generalized computational states for 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space. In the Section 3, we study some properties of general quantum gates. General quantum operations are considered as generalized quantum gates. In the Section 4, we consider a universal set of quantum 4-valued logic gates. In the Section 5, unitary 2-valued logic gates are considered as generalized quantum gates. We realize classical 4-valued logic gates by quantum gates. In Appendix 1, the physical and mathematical background (pure and mixed states, operator Hilbert space and superoperators) are considered. In Appendix 2, we introduce a four-valued classical logic formalism.

II Computational basis for mixed states

In general, a quantum system is not in a pure state. Landau and von Neumann introduced a mixed state and a density matrix into quantum theory. A density matrix is a Hermitian ($\rho^\dagger = \rho$), positive ($\rho > 0$) operator on $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ with trace $Tr\rho = 1$. Pure states can be characterized as orthogonal projections of unit trace: $\rho^2 = \rho$, $\rho^\dagger = \rho$, $Tr\rho = 1$. A pure state is represented by the operator $\rho = |\Psi><\Psi|$.

One can represent an arbitrary density matrix operator $\rho(t)$ for n-qubits (n two-level quantum systems) in terms of tensor products of Pauli matrices σ_μ:

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n} P_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n}(t) \sigma_{\mu_1} \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_{\mu_n} \ . \qquad (1)$$

where each $\mu_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $i = 1, ..., n$ and $\sigma_0 = I$. If $\mu_i = 1, 2, 3$, then σ_{μ_i} are Pauli matrices.

The real expansion coefficients $P_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n}(t)$ are given by

$$P_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n}(t) = Tr(\sigma_{\mu_1} \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_{\mu_n} \rho(t)).$$

Normalization ($Tr\rho = 1$) requires that $P_{0...0}(t) = 1$. Since the eigenvalues of the Pauli matrices are ± 1, the expansion coefficients satisfy $|P_{\mu_1 ... \mu_n}(t)| \leq 1$. Let us rewrite (1) in the form:

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} \sigma_\mu P_\mu(t), \qquad (2)$$

where $\sigma_\mu = \sigma_{\mu_1} \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_{\mu_n}$, $\mu = (\mu_1 ... \mu_n)$ and $N = 4^n$.

Let us introduce generalized computational basis and generalized computational states for 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space. For the concept of operator Hilbert space and superoperators see Aappendix 1 and [20]-[29]. Pauli matrices can be considered as a basis of operator Hilbert space (see Appendix 1).

We can rewrite formulas (2) using the complete operator basis $|\sigma_\mu)$ in operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$:

$$|\rho(t)) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} |\sigma_\mu) P_\mu(t),$$

where $P_\mu(t) = (\sigma_\mu|\rho(t))$. The basis $|\sigma_\mu)$ is orthogonal, but is not orthonornal. Let us define the orthonormal basis $|\mu)$ of operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$. The basis for $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ consists of the $N^2 = 4^n$ orthonormal basis elements denoted by $|\mu)$.

Definition *A basis of operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ is defined by*

$$|\mu) = |\mu_1 ... \mu_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} |\sigma_\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} |\sigma_{\mu_1} \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_{\mu_n}),$$

where each $\mu_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $N = 4^n$ and

$$(\mu|\mu') = \delta_{\mu\mu'} \ , \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} |\mu)(\mu| = \hat{I},$$

is called a **generalized computational basis**. *Here μ is 4-valued representation of $\mu = \mu_1 4^{n-1} + ... + \mu_{n-1} 4 + \mu_n$.*

Example. In general case, one-qubit mixed state $\rho(t)$ is

$$|\rho) = |0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + |1)\rho_1 + |2)\rho_2 + |3)\rho_3,$$

where *four* orthonormal basis elements are $|\mu) = (1/\sqrt{2})|\sigma_\mu)$, $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$.

The usual computational basis $\{|k >\}$ is not a basis of general state $\rho(t)$ which has a time dependence. In general case, a pure state evolves to mixed state.

Pure state of n two-level quantum systems is an element of 2^n-dimensional functional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$. It leads to model of quantum computations with 2-valued logic. *In general case, the mixed state $\rho(t)$ of n two-level quantum system is an element of 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$. It leads to 4-valued logic model for quantum computations.*

The state $|\rho(t))$ at any point time is a superposition of basis elements

$$|\rho(t)) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} |\mu) \rho_\mu(t),$$

where $\rho_\mu(t)$ are real numbers (functions)

$$\rho_\mu(t) = (\mu|\rho(t)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} Tr(\sigma_\mu \rho(t)).$$

Note that $\rho_0(t) = (0|\rho(t)) = 1/\sqrt{2^n} Tr\rho(t) = 1/\sqrt{2^n}$ for all cases.

Generalized computational basis elements $|\mu)$ are not quantum states for $\mu \neq 0$. It follows from normalized condition $(0|\rho(t)) = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Let us define simple computational quantum states.

Definition *A quantum states in operator Hilbert space defined by*

$$|\mu] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \Big(|0) + |\mu)(1 - \delta_{\mu 0}) \Big).$$

are called **generalized computational states**.

Note that all states $|\mu]$, where $\mu \neq 0$, are pure states, since $[\mu|\mu] = 1$. The state $|0]$ is maximally mixed state. The states $|\mu]$ are elements of operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$.

A state in a 4-dimensional Hilbert space can be called ququat (quantum quaternary digit). Usually ququat is considered as 4-level quantum system. We consider ququat as general state (density matrix operator) in a 4-dimensional operator Hilbert space.

Definition *A quantum state in 4-dimensional operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}$ associated with single qubit of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \mathcal{H}_2$ is called* **single ququat**. *A quantum state in 4^n-dimensional operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ associated with n-qubits system is called* **n-ququats**.

In this case quantum operations and unitary 2-valued logic quantum gates can be considered as quantum 4-valued logic gates acting on n-ququats.

Example. For the single ququat the states $|\mu]$ are

$$|0] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0) \ , \quad |k] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(|0) + |k) \Big).$$

It is convenient to use matrices for quantum states. In matrix representation the single ququat computational basis $|\mu)$ and computational states $|\mu]$ can be represented by column [19].

We can use the other matrix representation for the states $|\rho]$ which has no the coefficient $1/\sqrt{2^n}$. The single qubit generalized computational states $|\mu]$ can be represented by column of $1, P_1, P_2, P_3$. A general single ququat quantum state $|\rho]$ is a superposition of generalized computational states

$$|\rho] = |0](1 - P_1 - P_2 - P_3) + |1]P_1 + |2]P_2 + |3]P_3.$$

III Quantum operations as quantum gates

In this section we consider some properties of quantum operations as four-valued logic gates.

Unitary evolution is not the most general type of state change possible for quantum systems. A most general state change of a quantum system is a positive trace-preserving map which is called a quantum operation or superoperator. For the concept of quantum operations see [30, 31, 2]. In the formalism of quantum operations the final state ρ' is related to the initial state ρ by a map

$$\rho \ \rightarrow \ \rho' = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho)}{Tr(\mathcal{E}(\rho))} \ . \qquad (3)$$

The trace in the denominator is induced in order to preserve the trace condition, $Tr(\rho') = 1$. In general case, this denominator leads to the map is nonlinear, where the map $\mathcal{E}$ is a linear positive map.

The quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ usually considered as a completely positive map. The most general form for completely positive quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \rho A_j^{\dagger}.$$

By definition, $Tr(\mathcal{E}(\rho))$ is the probability that the process represented by $\mathcal{E}$ occurs, when ρ is the initial state. The probability never exceed 1. The quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ is trace-decreasing, i.e. $Tr(\mathcal{E}(\rho)) \le 1$ for all density matrix operators ρ. This condition can be expressed as an operator inequality for A_j. The operators A_j must satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^{\dagger} A_j \le I.$$

The normalized post-dynamics system state is defined by (3). The map (3) is nonlinear trace-preserving map. If the linear quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ is trace-preserving $Tr(\mathcal{E}(\rho)) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^{\dagger} A_j = I.$$

Notice that a trace-preserving quantum operation $\mathcal{E}(\rho) = A\rho A^{\dagger}$ must be a unitary transformation ($A^{\dagger}A = AA^{\dagger} = I$).

Quantum operations can be considered as generalized quantum gates act on mixed states. Let us define a generalized quantum gates.

Definition *Quantum (four-valued logic) gate is a superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ on operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ which maps a density matrix operator $|\rho)$ of n-ququats to a density matrix operator $|\rho')$ of n-ququats.*

A generalized quantum gate is a superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ which maps density matrix operator $|\rho)$ to density matrix operator $|\rho')$. If ρ is operator of density matrix, then $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho)$ should also be a density matrix operator. Any density matrix operator is self-adjoint ($\rho^{\dagger}(t) = \rho(t)$), positive ($\rho(t) > 0$) operator with unit trace ($Tr\rho(t) = 1$). Therefore we have some requirements for superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$.

The requirements for a superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ to be a generalized quantum gate are as follows:

1. The superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is *real* superoperator, i.e. $\Big(\hat{\mathcal{E}}(A)\Big)^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(A^{\dagger})$ for all A or $\Big(\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho)\Big)^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho)$. Real superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ maps self-adjoint operator ρ into self-adjoint operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho)$: $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho))^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho)$.

2.1. The gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a *positive* superoperator, i.e. $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ maps positive operators to positive operators: $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(A^2) > 0$ for all $A \neq 0$ or $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho) \ge 0$.

2.2. We have to assume the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ to be not merely positive but completely positive. The superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is *completely positive* map of operator Hilbert space, i.e. the positivity is remained if we extend the operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ by adding more qubits. That is, the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \hat{I}^{(m)}$ must be positive, where $\hat{I}^{(m)}$ is the identity superoperator on some operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(m)}$.

3. The superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is *trace-preserving* map, i.e.

$$(I|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho) = (\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}(I)|\rho) = 1 \quad or \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}(I) = I.$$

3.1. The superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a *linear* map of density matrix operators. Any linear completely positive superoperator can be represented by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{L}_{A_j} \hat{R}_{A_j^{\dagger}} \qquad (4)$$

3.2. The restriction to linear gates is unnecessary. Let us consider $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a linear superoperator which is not trace-preserving. This superoperator is not a quantum gate. Let $(I|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho) = Tr(\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho))$ is a probability that the process represented by the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ occurs. Since the probability is nonnegative and never exceed 1, it follows that the superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a trace-decreasing superoperator:

$$0 \le (I|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho) \le 1 \quad or \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}(I) \le I.$$

In general case, the linear trace-decreasing superoperator is not a quantum four-valued logic gate, since it can be not trace-preserving. The generalized quantum gate can be defined as *nonlinear trace-preserving* gate $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ by

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}|\rho) = (I|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho)^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho) \quad or \quad \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\rho) = \frac{\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho)}{Tr(\hat{\mathcal{E}}(\rho))},$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is a linear completely positive trace-decreasing superoperator.

Four-valued logic gates $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ in the matrix representation can be represented by $4^n \times 4^n$ matrices $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$. In this matrix representation the gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ maps the state $|\rho(t_0)) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} |\nu)\rho_{\nu}(t_0)$ to the state $|\rho(t)) = \sum_{\mu}^{N-1} |\mu)\rho_{\mu}(t)$ by

$$\rho_{\mu}(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}\rho_{\nu}(t_0) \ . \qquad (5)$$

where $\rho_0(t) = \rho_0(t_0) = 1/\sqrt{2^n}$ and $N = 4^n$. Since $P_\mu(t_0) = \sqrt{2^n}\rho_\mu(t_0)$ and $P_\mu(t) = \sqrt{2^n}\rho_\mu(t)$, it follows that relation (5) for linear gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is equivalent to

$$P_\mu(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} P_\nu(t_0)\ . \qquad (6)$$

Lemma 1 *In the generalized computational basis $|\mu)$ any linear two-valued logic quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ can be represented as a quantum four-valued logic gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ defined by*

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}\ |\mu)(\nu|\ ,$$

where $N = 4^n$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma_\nu)\Big),$$

and $\sigma_\mu = \sigma_{\mu_1} \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_{\mu_n}$.

Here $N = 4^n$, μ and ν are 4-valued representation of

$$\mu = \mu_1 4^{N-1} + ... + \mu_{N-1}4 + \mu_N,$$

$$\nu = \nu_1 4^{N-1} + ... + \nu_{N-1}4 + \nu_N,$$

$\mu_i, \nu_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ are elements of some matrix.

Proof. The state $\rho(t)$ in the generalized computational basis $|\mu)$ has the form

$$|\rho(t)) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} |\mu)\rho_\mu(t)\ ,$$

where $N = 4^n$ and $\rho_\mu(t) = (\mu|\rho(t))$. The quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ defines a four-valued logic gate by

$$|\rho(t)) = \hat{\mathcal{E}}_t|\rho) = |\mathcal{E}_t(\rho)) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} |\mathcal{E}_t(\sigma_\nu))\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\rho_\nu(t_0).$$

Then

$$(\mu|\rho(t)) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} (\sigma_\mu|\mathcal{E}_t(\sigma_\nu))\frac{1}{2^n}\rho_\nu(t_0).$$

Finally, we obtain (5), where

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n}(\sigma_\mu|\mathcal{E}_t(\sigma_\nu)) = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu \mathcal{E}_t(\sigma_\nu)\Big).$$

This formula defines a relation between quantum operation $\mathcal{E}$ and the real $4^n \times 4^n$ matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ of quantum gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$.

Lemma 2 *In the generalized computational basis $|\mu)$ the matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ of general quantum four-valued logic gate (4) is real $\mathcal{E}^*_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$.*

Proof.

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu A_j \sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(A_j^\dagger \sigma_\mu|\sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger).$$

$$\mathcal{E}^*_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(A_j^\dagger\sigma_\mu|\sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger)^* = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(\sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger|A_j^\dagger\sigma_\mu) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} Tr\Big(A_j\sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger\sigma_\mu\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu A_j\sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger\Big) = \mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}.$$

Lemma 3 *Any real matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ associated with linear (trace-preserving) quantum gates (4) has $\mathcal{E}_{0\nu} = \delta_{0\nu}$.*

Proof.

$$\mathcal{E}_{0\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_0\mathcal{E}(\sigma_\nu)\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\mathcal{E}(\sigma_\nu)\Big) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j\sigma_\nu A_j^\dagger\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big((\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^\dagger A_j)\sigma_\nu\Big) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\sigma_\nu = \delta_{0\nu}.$$

Completely positive condition leads to some inequalities [44, 45, 46] for matrix elements $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$.

Let us consider the n-ququats linear quantum gate

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = |0)(0| + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N-1} T_\mu|\mu)(0| + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N-1}\sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} R_{\mu\nu}|\mu)(\nu|, \qquad (7)$$

where $N = 4^n$. In general case, linear quantum 4-value logic gate acts on $|0)$ by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}|0) = |0) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} T_k|k).$$

If all T_k, where $k = 1, ..., N-1$ is equal to zero, then $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|0) = |0)$. The linear quantum gates with $T = 0$ conserve the maximally mixed state $|0]$ invariant.

Definition *A quantum four-valued logic gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is called unital gate or gate with $T = 0$ if maximally mixed state $|0]$ is invariant under the action of this gate: $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|0] = |0]$.*

The matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ of linear trace-preserving n-ququats gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is an element of group $TGL(4^n - 1, \mathbb{R})$ which is a semidirect product of general linear group $GL(4^n - 1, \mathbb{R})$ and translation group $T(4^n - 1, \mathbb{R})$. This proposition follows from Lemma 3. Any element (gate matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$) of group $TGL(4^n - 1, \mathbb{R})$ can be represented by

$$\mathcal{E}(T, R) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ T & R \end{array}\right),$$

where T is a column with $4^n - 1$ elements, 0 is a line with $4^n - 1$ zero elements, and R is a real $(4^n - 1) \times (4^n - 1)$ matrix $R \in GL(4^n - 1, \mathbb{R})$. If R is orthogonal $(4^n - 1) \times (4^n - 1)$ matrix ($R^T R = I$), then we have motion group [42]. The group multiplication of elements $\mathcal{E}(T,R)$ and $\mathcal{E}(T',R')$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(T,R)\mathcal{E}(T',R') = \mathcal{E}(T+RT', RR').$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(T,R) = \mathcal{E}(T,I)\mathcal{E}(0,R)\ , \quad \mathcal{E}(T,R) = \mathcal{E}(0,R)\mathcal{E}(R^{-1}T, I).$$

where I is unit $(4^n-1)\times(4^n-1)$ matrix.

Let us consider the n-ququats linear gate (7). The gate matrix $\mathcal{E}(T,R)$ is an element of Lie group $TGL(N-1,\mathbb{R})$, here $N = 4^n$. The matrix R is an element of Lie group $GL(N-1,\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1. (Singular Valued Decomposition for Matrix) *Any real matrix R can be written in the form $R = \mathcal{U}_1 D \mathcal{U}_2^T$, where $\mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{U}_2$ are real orthogonal $(N-1)\times(N-1)$ matrices and $D = diag(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_{N-1})$ is diagonal $(N-1)\times(N-1)$ matrix such that $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_{N-1} \ge 0$.*

Proof. This theorem is proved in [47, 48, 49, 41].

Theorem 2. (Singular Valued Decomposition for Gates) *Any linear quantum four-valued logic gate (7) can be represented by*

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(T)}\hat{\mathcal{U}}_1\ \hat{D}\ \hat{\mathcal{U}}_2,$$

where
$\hat{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_2$ are unital orthogonal quantum gates

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}_i = |0)(0| + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N-1}\sum_{\nu=1}^{N-1} R^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}|\mu)(\nu|,$$

$\hat{D}$ is a unital diagonal quantum gate, such that

$$\hat{D} = |0)(0| + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N-1}\lambda_\mu|\mu)(\mu|,$$

where $\lambda_\mu \ge 0$.
$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(T)}$ is a translation quantum gate, such that

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(T)} = |0)(0| + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N-1}|\mu)(\mu| + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N-1}T_\mu|\mu)(0|.$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem can be easy realized in matrix representation by using Lemma 3 and Theorem 1.

As a result we have that any trace-preserving gate can be realized by 3 types of gates: (1) unital orthogonal quantum gates $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ with matrix $\mathcal{U} \in SO(4^n-1,\mathbb{R})$; (2) unital diagonal quantum gate $\hat{D}$ with matrix $D \in D(4^n-1,\mathbb{R})$; (3) nonunital translation gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(T)}$ with matrix $\mathcal{E}^{(T)} \in T(4^n-1,\mathbb{R})$.

IV Universal set of quantum gates

The condition for performing arbitrary unitary operations on pure state to realize a quantum computation by unitary dynamics is well understood [35, 36, 37, 38]. Using a universal gate set, quantum computations may realize the time sequence of operations corresponding to any unitary dynamics. Deutsch, Barenco and Ekert [36], DiVincenzo [37] and Lloyd [38] showed that almost any two-qubits quantum gate is universal. It is known [35, 36, 37, 38] that a set of quantum gates that consists of all one-qubit gates and the two-qubits exclusive-or gate is universal in the sense that all unitary operations on arbitrary many qubits can be expressed as compositions of these gates. Recently in the paper [39] was considered universality for n-qudits quantum gates.

The same is not true for the general quantum operations. In the paper [14] single qubit quantum system with Markovian dynamics was considered and the resources needed for universality of general quantum operations was studied. An analysis of completely-positive trace-preserving superoperators on single qubit density matrices was realized in papers [43, 44, 45].

Let us study universality for general quantum four-valued logic gates. A set of quantum four-valued logic gates is universal iff all quantum gates on arbitrary many ququats can be expressed as compositions of these gates. A set of quantum four-valued logic gates is universal iff all unitary two-valued logic gates and general quantum operations can be represented by compositions of these gates. Single ququat gates cannot map two initially un-entangled ququats into an entangled state. Therefore the single ququat gates or set of single ququats gates are not universal gates. Quantum gates which are realization of classical gates cannot be universal by definition, since these gates evolve generalized computational states to generalized computational states and never to the superposition of them.

Let us consider linear completely positive trace-decreasing superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. This superoperator can be represented in the form (4), where $\hat{L}_A$ and $\hat{R}_A$ are superoperators on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ defined by $\hat{L}_A|B) = |AB)$ and $\hat{R}_A|B) = |BA)$.

The n-ququats linear gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is completely positive trace-preserving superoperator such that the gate matrix is an element of Lie group $TGL(4^n-1,\mathbb{R})$. In general case, the n-ququats nonlinear gate $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ is defined by completely positive trace-decreasing linear superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ such that the gate matrix is an element of Lie group $GL(4^n,\mathbf{R})$. The condition of completely positivity leads to difficult inequalities for gate matrix elements [46, 43, 44, 45]. In order to satisfy condition of completely positivity we use the representation (4). *To find the universal set of completely positive (linear or nonlinear) gates $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ we consider the universal set of the superoperators $\hat{L}_{A_j}$ and $\hat{R}_{A_j^\dagger}$.* The matrices of superoperators $\hat{L}_A$ and $\hat{R}_A$ are connected by complex conjugation. Obviously, the universal set of these superoperators defines a universal set of completely positive superoperators $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ of the quantum gates.

Let the superoperators $\hat{L}_A$ and $\hat{R}_{A^\dagger}$ be called pseudo-gates. These superoperators can be represented by

$$\hat{L}_A = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} L^{(A)}_{\mu\nu}|\mu)(\nu|, \quad \hat{R}_{A^\dagger} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} R^{(A^\dagger)}_{\mu\nu}|\mu)(\nu|.$$

Lemma 4 *The matrix $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ of the completely positive superoperator (4) can be represented by*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha}R^{(jA^\dagger)}_{\alpha\nu}\ . \qquad (8)$$

Proof. This Lemma can be easy prooved in matrix representation [19].

The matrix elements $L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha}$ and $R^{(jA^{\dagger})}_{\alpha\nu}$ can be rewritten in the form

$$L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{2^n}(\sigma_\mu \sigma_\alpha|A) \ , \quad R^{(jA^{\dagger})}_{\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n}(A|\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\nu). \qquad (9)$$

Using

$$(L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha})^* = \frac{1}{2^n}(\sigma_\mu \sigma_\alpha|A)^* = \frac{1}{2^n}(A|\sigma_\mu \sigma_\alpha) = R^{(jA^{\dagger})}_{\mu\alpha}.$$

we get the matrices $L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha}$ and $R^{(jA^{\dagger})}_{\mu\alpha}$ are complex $4^n \times 4^n$ matrices and their elements are connected by complex conjugation: $(L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha})^* = R^{(jA^{\dagger})}_{\mu\alpha}$. We can write the gate matrix (8) in the form

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} L^{(jA)}_{\mu\alpha} (L^{(jA)}_{\alpha\nu})^*.$$

A two-ququats gate $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is called primitive [39] if $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ maps tensor product of single ququats to tensor product of single ququats, i.e. if $|\rho_1)$ and $|\rho_2)$ are ququats, then we can find ququats $|\rho'_1)$ and $|\rho'_2)$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho_1) \otimes \rho_2) = |\rho'_1 \otimes \rho'_2)$. The superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is called imprimitive if $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is not primitive.

It can be shown that almost every pseudo-gate that operates on two or more ququats is universal pseudo-gate.

Theorem 3.
The set of all single ququat pseudo-gates and any imprimitive two-ququats pseudo-gate are universal set of pseudo-gates.
Proof. Expressed in group theory language, all n-ququats pseudo-gates are elements of the Lie group $GL(4^n, \mathbb{C})$. Two-ququats pseudo-gates $\hat{L}$ are elements of Lie group $GL(16, \mathbb{C})$. The question of universality is the same as the question of what set of superoperators $\hat{L}$ sufficient to generate $GL(16, \mathbb{C})$. The group $GL(16, \mathbb{C})$ has $(16)^2 = 256$ independent one-parameter subgroups $GL_{\mu\nu}(16, \mathbb{C})$ of one-parameter pseudo-gates $\hat{L}^{(\mu\nu)}(t)$ such that $\hat{L}^{(\mu\nu)}(t) = t|\mu)(\nu|$. Infinitesimal generators of Lie group $GL(4^n, \mathbb{C})$ are defined by

$$\hat{H}_{\mu\nu} = \Bigl(\frac{d}{dt}\hat{L}^{(\mu\nu)}(t)\Bigr)_{t=0},$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, ..., 4^n - 1$. The generators $\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}$ of the one-parameter subgroup $GL_{\mu\nu}(4^n, \mathbb{R})$ are superoperators of the form $\hat{H}_{\mu\nu} = |\mu)(\nu|$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ which can be represented by $4^n \times 4^n$ matrix $H_{\mu\nu}$ with elements $(H_{\mu\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\mu}\delta_{\beta\nu}$. The set of superoperators $\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}$ is a basis (Weyl basis [40]) of Lie algebra $gl(16, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$[\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}] = \delta_{\nu\alpha}\hat{H}_{\mu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\beta}\hat{H}_{\nu\alpha},$$

where $\mu, \nu, \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, ..., 15$. Any element $\hat{H}$ of the algebra $gl(16, \mathbb{C})$ can be represented by

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{15} \sum_{\nu=0}^{15} h_{\mu\nu} \hat{H}_{\mu\nu},$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ are complex coefficients.

As a basis of Lie algebra $gl(16, \mathbb{C})$ we can use 256 linearly independent self-adjoint superoperators

$$H_{\alpha\alpha} = |\alpha)(\alpha|, \quad H^r_{\alpha\beta} = |\alpha)(\beta| + |\beta)(\alpha|,$$

$$H^i_{\alpha\beta} = -i\Bigl(|\alpha)(\beta| - |\beta)(\alpha|\Bigr).$$

where $0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 15$. The matrices of these generators is Hermitian 16×16 matrices. The matrix elements of 256 Hermitian 16×16 matrices $H_{\alpha\alpha}$, $H^r_{\alpha\beta}$ and $H^i_{\alpha\beta}$ are defined by

$$(H_{\alpha\alpha})_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\alpha} \ , \quad (H^r_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} + \delta_{\mu\beta}\delta_{\nu\alpha},$$

$$(H^i_{\alpha\beta})_{\mu\nu} = -i(\delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\beta} - \delta_{\mu\beta}\delta_{\nu\alpha}).$$

For any Hermitian generators $\hat{H}$ exists one-parameter pseudo-gates $\hat{L}(t)$ which can be represented in the form $\hat{L}(t) = exp\ it\hat{H}$ such that $\hat{L}^{\dagger}(t)\hat{L}(t) = \hat{I}$.

Let us write main operations which allow to derive new pseudo-gates $\hat{L}$ from a set of pseudo-gates.
1) We introduce general SWAP (twist) pseudo-gate $\hat{T}^{(SW)}$. A new pseudo-gate $\hat{L}^{(SW)}$ defined by $\hat{L}^{(SW)} = \hat{T}^{(SW)}\hat{L}\hat{T}^{(SW)}$ is obtained directly from $\hat{L}$ by exchanging two ququats.
2) Any superoperator $\hat{L}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$ generated by the commutator $i[\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}]$ can be obtained from $\hat{L}_{\mu\nu}(t) = exp\ it\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta}(t) = exp\ it\hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}$ because

$$exp\ t\ [\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}] =$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Bigl(\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta}(-t_n)\hat{L}_{\mu\nu}(t_n)\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta}(t_n)\hat{L}_{\mu\nu}(-t_n)\Bigr)^n,$$

where $t_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$. Thus we can use the commutator $i[\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}]$ to generate pseudo-gates.
3) Every transformation $\hat{L}(a, b) = expi\hat{H}(a, b)$ of $GL(16, \mathbb{C})$ generated by superoperator $\hat{H}(a, b) = a\hat{H}_{\mu\nu} + b\hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}$, where a and b is complex, can obtained from $\hat{L}_{\mu\nu}(t) = exp\ it\hat{H}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta}(t) = exp\ it\hat{H}_{\alpha\beta}$ by

$$exp\ i\hat{H}(a, b) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Bigl(\hat{L}_{\mu\nu}(\frac{a}{n})\hat{L}_{\alpha\beta}(\frac{b}{n})\Bigr)^n.$$

For other details of the proof, see [37, 36, 39, 35, 38].

V Examples of general quantum gates

V.1 Unitary quantum gates

Let us use Lemma 1. In the generalized computational basis any unitary two-valued logic gate U can be considered as a quantum four-valued logic gate:

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu}|\mu)(\nu| \ , \qquad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu}$ is a real matrix such that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\nu U \sigma_\mu U^\dagger\Big) \ . \qquad (11)$$

This formula defines a relation between unitary quantum two-valued logic gates U and the real $4^n \times 4^n$ matrix $\mathcal{U}$.

Any four-valued logic gate associated with unitary 2-valued logic gate by (10,11) is unital gate, i.e. gate matrix $\mathcal{U}$ defined by (11) has $\mathcal{U}_{\mu 0} = \mathcal{U}_{0\mu} = \delta_{\mu 0}$.

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mu 0} = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu U \sigma_0 U^\dagger\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu U U^\dagger\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr \sigma_\nu .$$

Using $Tr \sigma_\mu = \delta_{\mu 0}$ we get $\mathcal{U}_{\mu 0} = \delta_{\mu 0}$.

Let us denote the gate $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ associated with unitary two-valued logic gate U by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)}$.

Lemma 5 *If U is unitary two-valued logic gate, then in the generalized computational basis a quantum four-valued logic gate $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)}$ associated with U is represented by orthogonal matrix $\mathcal{E}^{(U)}$:*

$$\mathcal{E}^{(U)} (\mathcal{E}^{(U)})^T = (\mathcal{E}^{(U)})^T \mathcal{E}^{(U)} = I \ . \qquad (12)$$

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)} \rho = U \rho U^\dagger \ , \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U^\dagger)} \rho = U^\dagger \rho U.$$

If $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$, then $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U^\dagger)} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U^\dagger)} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)} = \hat{I}$. In the matrix representation we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}^{(U)}_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{E}^{(U^\dagger)}_{\alpha\nu} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}^{(U^\dagger)}_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{E}^{(U)}_{\alpha\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \ ,$$

i.e. $\mathcal{E}^{(U^\dagger)} \mathcal{E}^{(U)} = \mathcal{E}^{(U)} \mathcal{E}^{(U^\dagger)} = I$. Note that

$$\mathcal{E}^{(U^\dagger)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu U^\dagger \sigma_\nu U\Big) = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr\Big(\sigma_\nu U \sigma_\mu U^\dagger\Big) = \mathcal{E}^{(U)}_{\nu\mu},$$

i.e. $\mathcal{E}^{(U^\dagger)} = (\mathcal{E}^{(U)})^T$. Finally, we obtain (12).

Note that n-qubit unitary two-valued logic gate U is an element of Lie group $SU(2^n)$. The dimension of this group is equal to $dim \ SU(2^n) = (2^n)^2 - 1 = 4^n - 1$. The matrix of n-ququat orthogonal linear gate $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(U)}$ can be considered as an element of Lie group $SO(4^n - 1)$. The dimension of this group is equal to $dim \ SO(4^n - 1) = (4^n - 1)(2 \cdot 4^{n-1} - 1)$. For example, if $n = 1$, then $dim \ SU(2^1) = dim \ SO(4^1 - 1) = 3$. If $n = 2$, then $dim \ SU(2^2) = 15$, $dim \ SO(4^2 - 1) = 105$. Therefore not all orthogonal 4-valued logic gates for mixed and pure states are connected with unitary 2-valued logic gates for pure states.

Let us consider single ququat 4-valued logic gate $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ associated with unitary single qubit 2-valued logic gate U.

Lemma 6 *Any single-qubit unitary quantum two-valued logic gate can be realized as the product of single ququat simple rotation gates $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}(\alpha)$, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(2)}(\theta)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}(\beta)$ defined by*

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)}(\alpha) = |0)(0| + |3)(3| + \cos\alpha \Big(|1)(1| + |2)(2|\Big) +$$

$$+ \sin\alpha \Big(|2)(1| - |1)(2|\Big),$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}^{(2)}(\theta) = |0)(0| + |2)(2| + \cos\theta \Big(|1)(1| + |3)(3|\Big) +$$

$$+ \sin\theta \Big(|1)(3| - |3)(1|\Big),$$

where α, θ and β are Euler angles.

Proof. See [19].

Example 1. In the generalized computational basis the unitary NOT gate ("negation") of two-valued logic

$$X = |0><1| + |1><0| = \sigma_1,$$

is represented by quantum four-valued logic gate

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(X)} = |0)(0| + |1)(1| - |2)(2| - |3)(3|.$$

Example 2. The Hadamar two-valued logic gate

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)$$

can be represented as a four-valued logic gate by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(H)} = |0)(0| - |2)(2| + |3)(1| + |1)(3|.$$

V.2 Measurements as quantum gates

It is known that von Neumann measurement operation $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} P_k \rho P_k \ , \qquad (13)$$

where $\{P_k | k = 1, .., r\}$ is a (not necessarily complete) sequence of orthogonal projection operators on $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$.

Let P_k are projectors onto the pure state $|k>$ which define usual computational basis $\{|k>\}$, i.e. $P_k = |k><k|$.

Lemma 7 *A nonlinear four-valued logic gate $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ for von Neumann measurement (13) of the state $\rho = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} |\alpha)\rho_\alpha$ is defined by*

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{1}{p(k)} \mathcal{E}^{(k)}_{\mu\nu} |\mu)(\nu|,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}^{(k)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2^n} Tr(\sigma_\mu P_k \sigma_\nu P_k), \quad p(k) = \sqrt{2^n} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}^{(k)}_{0\alpha} \rho_\alpha \ . \qquad (14)$$

Proof. The trace-decreasing quantum operation $\mathcal{E}_k$ is defined by $\rho \ \rightarrow \ \rho' = \mathcal{E}_k(\rho) = P_k \rho P_k$. The superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ for this quantum operation has the form $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k = \hat{L}_{P_k}\hat{R}_{P_k}$ and $|\rho') = \hat{\mathcal{E}}_k|\rho)$. Then

$$\rho'_{\mu} = (\mu|\rho') = (\mu|\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k|\rho) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} (\mu|\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k|\nu)(\nu|\rho) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}^{(k)}_{\mu\nu}\rho_\nu \ ,$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{(k)}_{\mu\nu} = (\mu|\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k|\nu) = (1/2^n)Tr(\sigma_\mu P_k \sigma_\nu P_k)$. The probability that process represented by $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k$ occurs is

$$p(k) = Tr(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_k(\rho)) = (I|\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho) = \sqrt{2^n}\rho'_0 = \sqrt{2^n}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}^{(k)}_{0\alpha}\rho_\alpha \ .$$

If $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}_{0\alpha}\rho_\alpha \ \neq \ 0$, then the matrix for nonlinear trace-preserving gate $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ is

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{2^n}(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}_{0\alpha}\rho_\alpha)^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}.$$

Example. Let us consider single ququat projection operator $P_+ = |0><0|$ and $P_- = |1><1|$ which can be defined by

$$P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0 \pm \sigma_3).$$

Using formula (14) we derive

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\pm)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8}Tr\Big(\sigma_\mu(\sigma_0 \pm \sigma_3)\sigma_\nu(\sigma_0 \pm \sigma_3)\Big) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu 0} + \delta_{\mu 3}\delta_{\nu 3} \pm \delta_{\mu 3}\delta_{\nu 0} \pm \delta_{\mu 0}\delta_{\nu 3}\Big).$$

The linear trace-decreasing superoperator for von Neumann measurement projector $P_\pm$ onto pure state is

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(|0)(0) + |3)(3| \pm |0)(3| \pm |3)(0|\Big).$$

The superoperators $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\pm)}$ are not trace-preserving. The probabilities $p_\pm$ that processes represented by superoperators $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\pm)}$ occurs are

$$p_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho_0 + \rho_3).$$

V.3 Quantum gates for classical gates

For the concept of many-valued logic see Appendix II and [32, 33, 34].

Let us consider linear trace-preserving quantum gates for classical gates $\sim$, $\overline{x}$, I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, $0, 1, 2, 3$, $\Diamond$, $\Box$.

Lemma 8 *Any single argument classical gate $g(\nu)$ can be realized as linear trace-preserving quantum four-valued logic gate by*

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(g)} = |0)(0| + \sum_{k=1}^{3} |g(k))(k| +$$

$$+(1-\delta_{0g(0)})\Big(|g(0))(0| - \sum_{\mu=0}^{3}\sum_{\nu=0}^{3}(1-\delta_{\mu g(\nu)})|\mu)(\nu|\Big).$$

Proof. The proof is by direct calculation in $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(g)}|\alpha] = |g(\alpha)]$, where $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(g)}|\alpha] = (1/\sqrt{2})\Big(\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(g)}|0) + \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(g)}|\alpha)\Big)$.

Examples.

1. Luckasiewicz negation gate is

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\sim x)} = |0)(0| + |1)(2| + |2)(1| + |3)(0| - |3)(3|.$$

2. The four-valued logic gate I_0 can be realized by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(I_0)} = |0)(0| + |3)(0| - \sum_{k=1}^{3}|3)(k|.$$

3. The gates $I_k(x)$, where $k = 1, 2, 3$ are

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(I_k)} = |0)(0| + |3)(k|.$$

4. The gate $\overline{x}$ can be realized by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\overline{x})} = |0)(0| + |1)(0| + |2)(1| + |3)(2| - \sum_{k=1}^{3}|1)(k|.$$

5. The constant gates 0 and $k = 1, 2, 3$ can be realized by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(0)} = |0)(0| \ , \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(k)} = |0)(0| + |k)(0|.$$

6. The gate $\Diamond x$ is realized by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\Diamond)} = |0)(0| + \sum_{k=1}^{3}|3)(k|.$$

7. The gate $\Box x = \sim \Diamond x$ is $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\Box)} = |0)(0| + |3)(3|$.

Note that quantum gates $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(\sim x)}$, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(I_0)}$, $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(k)}$ are not unital gates.

Let us consider quantum gates for two-arguments classical gates.

1. The generalized conjunction $x_1 \wedge x_2 = min(x_1, x_2)$ and generalized disjunction $x_1 \wedge x_2 = max(x_1, x_2)$ can be realized by two-ququats unital gate:

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}|x_1, x_2] = |x_1 \vee x_2, x_1 \wedge x_2] \ .$$

Let us write the quantum gate which realizes the these classsical gates in the generalized computational basis by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{\mu}^{N-1}\sum_{\nu}^{N-1}|\mu\nu)(\mu\nu| + \sum_{k=1}^{3}\Big(|0k) - |k0)\Big)(k0| +$$

$$+\sum_{k=2}^{3}\Big(|1k) - |k1)\Big)(k1| + \Big(|23) - |32)\Big)(32|.$$

2. The Sheffer-Webb function gate

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}|x_1, x_2] = |V_4(x_1, x_2), \sim V_4(x_1, x_2)]$$

can be realized by two-ququats gate:

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}} = |00)(00| + |12)(00| - \sum_{\mu=0}^{3}\sum_{\nu=1}^{3}|12)(\mu\nu| + |21)(10| +$$

$$+|21)(11| + |30)(02| + |30)(20| + |30)(12| + |30)(21| +$$

$$+|30)(22| + |03)(03| + |03)(13| + |03)(23| + \sum_{\mu=0}^{3}|03)(3\mu|.$$

Note that this gate is not unital quantum gate.

VI Appendix I.

VI.1 Pure states and Hilbert space

A quantum system in a pure state is described by unit vector in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. In the Dirac notation a pure state is denoted by $|\Psi>$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a linear space with an inner product. The inner product for $|\Psi_1>, |\Psi_2> \in \mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $<\Psi_1|\Psi_2>$. A quantum bit or qubit, the fundamental concept of quantum computations, is a two-state quantum system. The two basis states labeled $|0>$ and $|1>$, is orthogonal unit vectors, i.e. $<k|l>=\delta_{kl}$, where $k, l \in \{0,1\}$. The Hilbert space of qubit is $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathbb{C}^2$. The quantum system which is used to quantum computations consists of n quantum two-state particles. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ of such a system is a tensor product of n Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_2$ of one two-state particle: $\mathcal{H}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes ... \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. The space $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ is a $N = 2^n$ dimensional complex linear space. Let us choose a basis for $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ which is consists of the $N = 2^n$ orthonormal states $|k>$, where k is in binary representation. The state is a tensor product of states in $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$:

$$|k>=|k_1>\otimes|k_2>\otimes ... \otimes|k_n>=|k_1 k_2 ... k_n> ,$$

where $k_i \in \{0,1\}$ and $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. This basis is usually called computational basis which has 2^n elements. A pure state $|\Psi(t)> \in \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ is generally a superposition of the basis states

$$|\Psi(t)>=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k(t)|k> , \qquad (15)$$

with $N = 2^n$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |a_k(t)|^2 = 1$.

VI.2 Operator Hilbert space

For the concept of operator Hilbert space and superoperators see [20]-[29].

The space of linear operators acting on a $N = 2^n$-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ is a $N^2 = 4^n$-dimensional complex linear space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$. We denote an element A of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ by a ket-vector $|A)$. The inner product of two elements $|A)$ and $|B)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ is defined as

$$(A|B) = Tr(A^{\dagger}B) . \qquad (16)$$

The norm $\|A\| = \sqrt{(A|A)}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of operator A. A new Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ with scalar product (16) is called operator Hilbert space attached to $\mathcal{H}$ or the associated Hilbert space, or Hilbert-Schmidt space [20]-[29].

Let $\{|k>\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$:

$$<k|k'>=\delta_{kk'} , \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |k><k| = I.$$

Then $|k,l) = ||k><l|)$ is an orthonormal basis of the operator Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$:

$$(k,l|k',l') = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{ll'} , \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} |k,l)(k,l| = \hat{I},$$

where $N = 2^n$. The operator basis $|k,l)$ has 4^n elements. Note that $|k,l) \neq |kl> = |k>\otimes|l>$ and

$$|k,l) = |k_1,l_1)\otimes|k_2,l_2)\otimes ... \otimes|k_n,l_n),$$

where $k_i, l_i \in \{0,1\}$, $i = 1, ..., n$ and

$$|k_i,l_i)\otimes|k_j,l_j) = |\, |k_i>\otimes|k_j>, <l_i|\otimes<l_j|\,).$$

For an arbitrary element $|A)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ we have

$$|A) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} |k,l)(k,l|A)$$

with $(k,l|A) = Tr(|l><k|A) =<k|A|l>= A_{kl}$. An operator ρ of density matrix for n-qubits can be considered as an element $|\rho)$ of space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$.

VI.3 Superoperators

Operators, which act on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, are called superoperators and we denote them in general by the hat.

For an arbitrary superoperator $\hat{\Lambda}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$, which is defined by

$$\hat{\Lambda}|A) = |\Lambda(A)),$$

we have

$$(k,l|\hat{\Lambda}|A) = \sum_{k'=0}^{N-1}\sum_{l'=0}^{N-1} (k,l|\hat{\Lambda}|k',l')(k',l'|A) =$$

$$= \sum_{k'=0}^{N-1}\sum_{l'=0}^{N-1} \Lambda_{klk'l'} A_{k'l'},$$

where $N = 2^n$.

Let A be a linear operator in Hilbert space. Then the superoperators $\hat{L}_A$ and $\hat{R}_A$ will be defined by

$$\hat{L}_A|B) = |AB) , \quad \hat{R}_A|B) = |BA).$$

The superoperator $\hat{P} = |A)(B|$ is defined by

$$\hat{P}|C) = |A)(B|C) = |A)Tr(B^{\dagger}C).$$

A superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}$ is called the adjoint superoperator for $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ if

$$(\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\dagger}(A)|B) = (A|\hat{\mathcal{E}}(B))$$

for all $|A)$ and $|B)$ from $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. A superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is unital if $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(I) = I$.

Pauli matrices can be considered as a basis in operator space. Let us write the Pauli matrices in the form

$$\sigma_1 = |0><1| + |1><0| = |0,1) + |1,0),$$

$$\sigma_2 = -i|0><1| + i|1><0| = -i(|0,1) - |1,0)),$$

$$\sigma_3 = |0><0| - |1><1| = |0,0) - |1,1),$$

$$\sigma_0 = I = |0><0| + |1><1| = |0,0) + |1,1).$$

Let us use the formulas

$$|0,0) = \frac{1}{2}(|\sigma_0) + |\sigma_3)) \ , \quad |1,1) = \frac{1}{2}(|\sigma_0) - |\sigma_3)),$$

$$|0,1) = \frac{1}{2}(|\sigma_1) + i|\sigma_2)) \ , \quad |1,0) = \frac{1}{2}(|\sigma_1) - i|\sigma_2)).$$

It allows to rewrite operator basis

$$|k,l) = |k_1,l_1) \otimes |k_2,l_2) \otimes ... \otimes |k_n,l_n)$$

by complete basis operators

$$|\sigma_\mu) = |\sigma_{\mu_1} \otimes \sigma_{\mu_2} \otimes ... \otimes \sigma_{\mu_n}),$$

where $\mu_i = 2k_i + l_i$, i.e. $\mu_i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ and $i = 1,...,n$. The basis $|\sigma_\mu)$ is orthogonal $(\sigma_\mu|\sigma_{\mu'}) = 2^n \delta_{\mu\mu'}$ and $|\sigma_\mu)$ is complete operator basis

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\mu}^{N-1} |\sigma_\mu)(\sigma_\mu| = \hat{I}.$$

For an arbitrary element $|A)$ of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{(n)}$ we have Pauli representation by

$$|A) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{N-1} |\sigma_\mu) A_\mu$$

with the complex coefficients $A_\mu = (\sigma_\mu|A) = Tr(\sigma_\mu A)$.

VII Appendix II.

Let us consider some elements of classical four-valued logic. For the concept of many-valued logic see [32, 33, 34].

A classical four-valued logic gate is called a function $g(x_1,...,x_n)$ if all $x_i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$, where $i = 1,...,n$, and $g(x_1,...,x_n) \in \{0,1,2,3\}$.

It is known that the number of all classical logic gates with n-arguments $x_1,...,x_n$ is equal to 4^{4^n}. The number of classical logic gates $g(x)$ with single argument is equal to $4^{4^1} = 256$.

Single argument classical gates								
x	$\sim x$	$\Box x$	$\Diamond x$	$\overline{x}$	I_0	I_1	I_2	I_3
0	3	0	0	1	3	0	0	0
1	2	0	3	2	0	3	0	0
2	1	0	3	3	0	0	3	0
3	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3

The number of classical logic gates $g(x_1,x_2)$ with two-arguments is equal to $4^{4^2} = 4^{16} = 42949677296$.

Let us define some elementary classical 4-valued logic gates by formulas:

Luckasiewicz negation: $\sim x = 3 - x$.

Cyclic shift: $\overline{x} = x + 1(mod4)$.

Functions $I_i(x)$, where $i = 0,...,3$, such that $I_i(x) = 3$ if $x = i$ and $I_i(x) = 0$ if $x \neq i$.

Generalized conjunction: $x_1 \wedge x_2 = min(x_1,x_2)$.

Generalized disjunction: $x_1 \vee x_2 = max(x_1,x_2)$.

Generalized Sheffer-Webb function:

$$V_4(x_1,x_2) = max(x_1,x_2) + 1(mod4).$$

Commutative law, associative law and distributive law for the generalized conjunction and disjunction are satisfied:

Commutative law:

$$x_1 \wedge x_2 = x_2 \wedge x_1 \ , \quad x_1 \vee x_2 = x_2 \vee x_1.$$

Associative law:

$$(x_1 \vee x_2) \vee x_3 = x_1 \vee (x_2 \vee x_3).$$

$$(x_1 \wedge x_2) \wedge x_3 = x_1 \wedge (x_2 \wedge x_3).$$

Distributive law:

$$x_1 \vee (x_2 \wedge x_3) = (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_3).$$

$$x_1 \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) = (x_1 \wedge x_2) \vee (x_1 \wedge x_3).$$

Note that the Luckasiewicz negation is satisfied

$$\sim (\sim x) = x \ , \quad \sim (x_1 \wedge x_2) = (\sim x_1) \vee (\sim x_2).$$

The shift $\overline{x}$ for x is not satisfied usual negation rules:

$$\overline{\overline{x}} \neq x \ , \quad \overline{x_1 \wedge x_2} \neq \overline{x_1} \vee \overline{x_2}.$$

The analog of disjunction normal form of the n-arguments 4-valued logic gate is

$$g(x_1,...,x_n) = \bigvee_{(k_1,...,k_n)} I_{k_1}(x_1) \wedge ... \wedge I_{k_n} \wedge g(k_1,..,k_n).$$

It is known universal sets of universal classical gates of four-valued logic:

The set $\{0,1,2,3,I_0,I_1,I_2,I_3,x_1 \wedge x_2, x_1 \vee x_2\}$ *is universal.*

The set $\{\overline{x}, x_1 \vee x_2\}$ *is universal.*

The gate $V_4(x_1,x_2)$ *is universal.*

This theorem is proved in [34].

References

[1] C.W. Gardiner, *Quantum Noise* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1991).

[2] B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. A **54** 2614 (1996); LANL e-print quant-ph/9604023.

[3] B. Schumacher and M.A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A **54** 2629 (1996); LANL e-print quant-ph/9604022.

[4] P.W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A **52** R2493 (1995).

[5] A.M. Stean, Phys. Rev. A **54** 4741 (1996).

[6] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, J.A. Smolin and W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **54** 3824 (1996); LANL e-print quant-ph/9604024.

[7] W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. A **51** 992 (1995) ; LANL e-print hep-th/9406058.

[8] A. Barenco, T.A. Brun, R. Schack and T.P. Spiller, Phys. Rev. A **56** 1177 (1997); LANL e-print quant-ph/9612047.

[9] C. Miquel, J.P. Paz and R. Perazzo, Phys. Rev. A **54** 2605 (1996); LANL e-print quant-ph/9601021.

[10] D.A. Lidar, I.L. Chuang and K.B. Whaley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 2594 (1998); LANL e-print quant-ph/9807004.

[11] P. Zanardi Phys. Rev. A **57** 3276 (1998); LANL e-print quant-ph/9705045.

[12] B.M. Terhal, I.L. Chuang, D.P. DiVincenzo, M. Crassl and J.A. Smolin, Phys. Rev. A **60** 881 (1999); LANL e-print quant-ph/9806095.

[13] B.M. Terhal and D.P. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. A **61** 2301 (2000); LANL e-print quant-ph/9810063.

[14] D. Bacon, A.M. Childs, I.L. Chuang, J. Kempe, D.W. Leung and X. Zhou, LANL e-print quant-ph/0008070.

[15] S. Lloyd and L. Viola, LANL e-print quant-ph/0008101.

[16] D.S. Abrams and S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** 2586 (1997); LANL e-print quant-ph/9703054.

[17] C. Zalka, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **454** 313 (1998); LANL e-print quant-ph/9603026.

[18] D. Aharonov, A. Kitaev and N. Nisan, LANL e-print quant-ph/9806029.

[19] V.E. Tarasov Preprint SINP MSU. 2001-31/671; LANL e-print quant-ph/0112023.

[20] J.A. Crawford, Nuovo Cim. **10** 698 (1958).

[21] G. Emch, Helv. Phys. Acta **37** 532 (1964).

[22] H. Gabriel, Phys. Rev. **181** 509 (1969).

[23] J. Schwinger *Quantum kinematics and dynamics* (W.A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1970) (Chapters 2.11, 2.12)

[24] M. Schmutz, Zeitsch. Phys. B **30** 97 (1978).

[25] K. Blum, *Density matrix. Theory and applications* (Plenum Press, New York, London, 1981). (Chapter 7.6)

[26] E. Fick and G. Sauermann, *The quantum statistics of dynamic processes* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1990). (Chapters 3.1., 8.1., 8.2.)

[27] A. Abragam and M. Goldman, *Nuclear magnetism. Order and disorder* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1982). (Chapter 1B)

[28] V.E. Tarasov, *Quantum mechanics. Lectures on theory foundation* (Vuzovskaya kniga, Moscow, 2000). (Chapters 4,10,11,15)

2

[29] V.E. Tarasov, *Mathematical introduction to quantum mechanics* (MAI, Moscow, 2000). (Chapters 2,3,5)

[30] K. Kraus, Ann. Phys. **64** 311 (1971).

[31] K. Kraus, *States, effects and operations. Fundamental notions of quantum theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Helderberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983).

[32] N. Rescher, *Many-valued logic* (Mc.Graw-Hill, New York, 1969).

[33] J.B. Rosser and A.R. Turquette, *Many-valued logics* (North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1952).

[34] S.V. Yablonskii, *Introduction to discrete mathematics* 2nd ed. (Nauka, Moscow, 1985).

[35] A. Barenco, C.H. Bennett, R. Cleve, D.P. DiVicenzo, N. Margolus, P. Shor, T. Sleator, J.A. Smolin and H. Weinfurter, Phys. Rev. A **52** 3457 (1995); LANL e-print quant-ph/9503016.

[36] D. Deutsch, A. Barenco and A. Ekert, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **449** 669 (1995); LANL e-print quant-ph/9505018.

[37] D.P. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. A **51** 1015 (1995); LANL e-print cond-mat/9407022.

[38] S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**(2) 346 (1995).

[39] J.L. Brylinski and R Brylinski LANL e-print quant-ph/010862.

[40] A.O. Barut and R. Raczka, *Theory of group representations and applications* (PWN-Polish Scientific Publ., Warszawa, 1977).

[41] F.R. Gantmaher, *Matrix theory* 3rd ed. (Nauka, Moscow, 1967).

[42] N.Ya. Vilenkin, *Special functions and representation group theory* 2nd ed. (Moscow, Nauka, 1991).

[43] A. Fujiwara and P. Algoet, Phys. Rev. A **59** 3290 (1999).

[44] C. King and M.B. Ruskai, LANL e-print quant-ph/9911079.

[45] M.B. Ruskai, S. Szarek and E. Werner, LANL e-print quant-ph/0101003.

[46] M.D. Choi, Lin. Alg. Appl. **10** 285 (1975).

[47] C. Eckart and G. Young, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** 118 (1939).

[48] C. Lanzos, Amer. Math. Monthly **65** 665 (1958).

[49] H. Schwerdtfeger, Amer. Math. Monthly **67** 855 (1960).